Stability in functional inequalities

Jean Dolbeault

Ceremade, CNRS & Université Paris-Dauphine [http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/](http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~dolbeaul)∼dolbeaul

Summer School Direct and Inverse Problems with Applications, and Related Topics [Ghent Analysis & PDE Center](https://analysis-pde.org/summer-school-direct-and-inverse-problems-with-applications-and-related-topics/) (19-24 August, 2024)

August 21, 2024

4. Sobolev and LSI

メロト メ都ト メミト メミト

 $2Q$

重

Outline

Constructive stability results for the Sobolev and the logarithmic Sobolev inequalities

- 1 [Stability for Sobolev and LSI on](#page-3-0) \mathbb{R}^d
	- [Main results, optimal dimensional dependence](#page-4-0)
- ² [Explicit stability result for the Sobolev inequality: proof](#page-7-0)
	- [Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0)
	- [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0)
	- [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

∢ ロ ⊁ (何) (ミ) (ミ) 。

J. Dolbeault [Stability in functional inequalities](#page-0-0)

 299

E \Rightarrow

Explicit stability results for Sobolev and log-Sobolev inequalities, with optimal dimensional dependence

Joint papers with M.J. Esteban, A. Figalli, R. Frank, M. Loss Sharp stability for Sobolev and log-Sobolev inequalities, with optimal dimensional dependence [arXiv: 2209.08651](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.08651) A short review on improvements and stability for some interpolation inequalities [arXiv: 2402.08527](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.08527)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

An explicit stability result for the Sobolev inequality

Sobolev inequality on \mathbb{R}^d with $d \geq 3$, $2^* = \frac{2d}{d-2}$ and sharp constant S_d

$$
\|\nabla f\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \geq S_d \, \|f\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \forall \, f \in \dot{\mathrm{H}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathscr{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)
$$

with equality on the manifold M of the Aubin–Talenti functions

$$
g_{a,b,c}(x)=c\left(a+|x-b|^2\right)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}},\quad a\in(0,\infty),\quad b\in\mathbb{R}^d\,,\quad c\in\mathbb{R}
$$

Theorem (JD, Esteban, Figalli, Frank, Loss)

There is a constant $\beta > 0$ with an explicit lower estimate which does not depend on d such that for all d \geq 3 and all $f\in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)\setminus \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$
\|\nabla f\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - S_d \|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \geq \frac{\beta}{d} \inf_{g \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla f - \nabla g\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2
$$

- Ω No compactness argument
- Ω The (estimate of the) constant β is explicit
- **Q** The decay rate β/d is optimal as $d \rightarrow +\infty$

 000

A stability result for the logarithmic Sobolev inequality

Use the inverse stereographic projection to rewrite the result on \mathbb{S}^d

$$
\|\nabla F\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{d})}^{2} - \frac{1}{4} d (d - 2) \left(\|F\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2^{*}}(\mathbb{S}^{d})}^{2} - \|F\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{d})}^{2} \right)
$$

\n
$$
\geq \frac{\beta}{d} \inf_{G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}^{d})} \left(\|\nabla F - \nabla G\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{d})}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} d (d - 2) \|F - G\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{d})}^{2} \right)
$$

Rescale by \sqrt{d} , consider a function depending only on n coordinates and take the limit as $d \rightarrow +\infty$ to approximate the Gaussian measure $d\gamma = e^{-\pi |x|^2} dx$

Corollary (JD, Esteban, Figalli, Frank, Loss)

With
$$
\beta > 0
$$
 as in the result for the Sobolev inequality
\n
$$
\|\nabla u\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\gamma)}^2 - \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2 \log \left(\frac{|u|^2}{\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\gamma)}^2} \right) d\gamma
$$
\n
$$
\geq \frac{\beta \pi}{2} \inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}^d, c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u - c e^{a \cdot x}|^2 d\gamma
$$

Stability for the logarithmic Sobolev inequality

 \triangleright [Gross, 1975] Gaussian logarithmic Sobolev inequality for $n \geq 1$

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n,d\gamma)}^2 \geq \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2 \log \left(\frac{|u|^2}{\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n,d\gamma)}^2} \right) d\gamma
$$

 \triangleright [Weissler, 1979] scale invariant (but dimension-dependent) version of the Euclidean form of the inequality

 \triangleright [Stam, 1959], [Federbush, 69], [Costa, 85] Cf. [Villani, 08] \triangleright [Bakry, Emery, 1984], [Carlen, 1991] equality iff

$$
u\in\mathscr{M}:=\left\{w_{a,c}\,:\,(a,c)\in\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}\right\}\quad\text{where}\quad w_{a,c}(x)=c\,e^{a\cdot x}\quad\forall\,x\in\mathbb{R}^n
$$

 \triangleright [McKean, 1973], [Beckner, 92] (LSI) as a large d limit of Sobolev \triangleright [Carlen, 1991] reinforcement of the inequality (Wiener transform) \triangleright [JD, Toscani, 2016] Comparison with Weissler's form, a (dimension dependent) improved inequality

 \triangleright [Bobkov, Gozlan, Roberto, Samson, 2014], [Indrei et al., 2014-23] stability in Wasserstein distance, in $W^{1,1}$, etc.

 \triangleright [Fathi, Indrei, Ledoux, 2016] improved inequality assuming a Poincaré inequality (Mehler formula) \sqrt{m}) \sqrt{m}) \sqrt{m}) \sqrt{m}

 \equiv

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

Explicit stability result for the Sobolev inequality Proof

a mills

 \overline{A} \overline{B} \rightarrow \overline{A} \overline{B} \rightarrow \overline{A} \overline{B} \rightarrow

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

Sketch of the proof

Goal: prove that there is an *explicit* constant $\beta > 0$ such that for all $d \geq 3$ and all $f \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$
\|\nabla f\|_2^2 \geq S_d \|f\|_{2^*}^2 + \frac{\beta}{d} \inf_{g \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla f - \nabla g\|_2^2
$$

Part 1. We show the inequality for nonnegative functions far from $\mathcal M$... the far away regime

Make it constructive

Part 2. We show the inequality for nonnegative functions close to $\mathcal M$... the local problem

Get *explicit* estimates and remainder terms

Part 3. We show that the inequality for nonnegative functions implies the inequality for functions without a sign restriction, up to an acceptable loss in the constant

... dealing with sign-changing functions

KORK EXTERNE STARK

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

Some definitions

What we want to minimize is

$$
\mathcal{E}(f):=\frac{\|\nabla f\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}-S_d\,\|f\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}}{\mathsf{d}(f,\mathcal{M})^2}\quad f\in \dot{\mathrm{H}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)\setminus \mathcal{M}
$$

where

$$
\mathsf{d}(f,\mathcal{M})^2 := \inf_{g \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla f - \nabla g\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$

 \triangleright up to a *conformal transformation*, we assume that $\mathsf{d}(f,\mathcal{M})^2 = \|\nabla f - \nabla g_*\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$ with

$$
g_*(x):=|\mathbb{S}^d|^{-\frac{d-2}{2d}}\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}
$$

 \triangleright use the *inverse stereographic* projection

$$
F(\omega) = \frac{f(x)}{g_*(x)} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ with } \begin{cases} \omega_j = \frac{2x_j}{1+|x|^2} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq d \\ \omega_{d+1} = \frac{1-|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq d \end{cases}
$$

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

The problem on the unit sphere

Stability inequality on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d for $F \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^d, d\mu)$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \left(|\nabla F|^2 + A |F|^2 \right) d\mu - A \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |F|^{2^*} d\mu \right)^{2/2^*} \geq \frac{\beta}{d} \inf_{G \in \mathscr{M}} \left\{ \|\nabla F - \nabla G\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^d)}^2 + A \|F - G\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^d)}^2 \right\}
$$

with $A = \frac{1}{4} d(d-2)$ and a manifold $\mathcal M$ of optimal functions made of

$$
G(\omega)=c\left(a+b\cdot\omega\right)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}\quad\omega\in\mathbb{S}^d\quad\left(a,b,c\right)\in\left(0,+\infty\right)\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}
$$

Q make the reduction of a **far away problem** to a local problem $constructive...$ on \mathbb{R}^d make the analysis of the *local problem explicit*... on \mathbb{S}^d

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \square & \times & \overline{\cap} & \times \end{array} \right.$ and $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \square & \times & \times & \overline{\square} & \times \end{array} \right.$

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

Competing symmetries

• Rotations on the sphere combined with stereographic and inverse stereographic projections. Let $e_d = (0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$
(Uf)(x) := \left(\frac{2}{|x-e_d|^2}\right)^{\frac{d-2}{2}} f\left(\frac{x_1}{|x-e_d|^2}, \dots, \frac{x_{d-1}}{|x-e_d|^2}, \frac{|x|^2-1}{|x-e_d|^2}\right)
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}(Uf) = \mathcal{E}(f)
$$

 $Symmetric$ decreasing rearrangement $Rf = f^*$ f and f^* are equimeasurable $\|\nabla f^*\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\nabla f\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$

The method of *competing symmetries*

Theorem (Carlen, Loss, 1990)

Let $f \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a non-negative function with $\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2^{\ast}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}=\|g_{\ast}\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2^{\ast}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$ The sequence $f_n=(\mathcal{R}U)^n$ f is such that $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\|f_n-g_*\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}=0.$ If $f\in \dot{\mathrm{H}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $(\|\nabla f_n\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a non-increasing sequence

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

Useful preliminary results

$$
\text{Q } \lim_{n \to \infty} \|f_n - h_f\|_{2^*} = 0 \text{ where } h_f = \|f\|_{2^*} g_* / \|g_*\|_{2^*} \in \mathcal{M}
$$

 $(\|\nabla f_n\|_2^2)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a nonincreasing sequence

Lemma

$$
\inf_{g \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla f - \nabla g\|_2^2 = \|\nabla f\|_2^2 - S_d \sup_{g \in \mathcal{M}, \|g\|_{2^*} = 1} (f, g^{2^* - 1})^2
$$

Corollary

$$
\left(\mathsf{d}(f_n, \mathcal{M})\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is strictly decreasing, } n \mapsto \sup_{g \in \mathcal{M}_1} \left(f_n, g^{2^*-1}\right) \text{ is strictly increasing, and}
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathsf{d}(f_n, \mathcal{M})^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\nabla f_n\|_2^2 - S_d \|h_f\|_{2^*}^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\nabla f_n\|_2^2 - S_d \|f\|_{2^*}^2
$$
\nbut no monotonicity for $n \mapsto \mathcal{E}(f_n) = \frac{\|\nabla f_n\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - S_d \|f_n\|_{\mathsf{L}^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2}{\mathsf{d}(f_n, \mathcal{M})^2}$

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

Part 1: Global to local reduction

The local problem

$$
\mathscr{I}(\delta):=\inf\left\{\mathcal{E}(f)\,:\,f\geq0\,,\;\mathsf{d}(f,\mathcal{M})^2\leq\delta\,\|\nabla f\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right\}
$$

Assume that $f \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a nonnegative function in the far away regime

$$
d(f,\mathcal{M})^2 = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla f - \nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 > \delta \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2
$$

for some $\delta \in (0,1)$ Let $f_n = (\mathcal{R}U)^n f$. There are two cases: (Case 1) $d(f_n, \mathcal{M})^2 \ge \delta \|\nabla f_n\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (Case 2) for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d(f_n, \mathcal{M})^2 < \delta \|\nabla f_n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$

COLLE

 $\langle \langle \bigcap \Phi \rangle \rangle$ $\langle \Phi \rangle$ $\langle \Phi \rangle$

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

Global to local reduction – Case 1

Assume that $f \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a nonnegative function in the far away regime

$$
\inf_{g \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla f - \nabla g\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 > \delta \, \|\nabla f\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2
$$

Lemma

Let $f_n=(\mathcal{R}U)^n$ f and $\delta\in(0,1)$. If d $(f_n,\mathcal{M})^2\geq\delta\,\|\nabla f_n\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{E}(f) \geq \delta$

$$
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|\nabla f_n\|_2^2 \le \frac{1}{\delta} \lim_{n \to +\infty} \inf_{g \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla f_n - \nabla g\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|\nabla f_n\|_2^2 - S_d \|f\|_{2^*}^2 \right)
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}(f) = \frac{\|\nabla f\|_2^2 - S_d \|f\|_{2^*}^2}{\inf_{g \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla f - \nabla g\|_2^2} \ge \frac{\|\nabla f\|_2^2 - S_d \|f\|_{2^*}^2}{\|\nabla f\|_2^2} \ge \frac{\|\nabla f_n\|_2^2 - S_d \|f\|_{2^*}^2}{\|\nabla f_n\|_2^2} \ge \delta
$$

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

Global to local reduction – Case 2

$$
\mathscr{I}(\delta):=\inf\left\{\mathcal{E}(f)\,:\,f\geq0\,,\;\mathsf{d}(f,\mathcal{M})^2\leq\delta\,\|\nabla f\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right\}
$$

Lemma

 $\mathcal{E}(f) > \delta \mathcal{I}(\delta)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\text{if} & \inf_{g \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla f_{n_0} - \nabla g\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 > \delta \|\nabla f_{n_0}\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\
& \text{and} & \inf_{g \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla f_{n_0+1} - \nabla g\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 < \delta \|\nabla f_{n_0+1}\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2\n\end{array}
$$

Adapt a strategy due to Christ: build a (semi-)*continuous* rearrangement $flow(f_\tau)_{n_0 \leq \tau \leq n_0+1}$ with $f_{n_0} = Uf_n$ such that $||f_{\tau}||_{2^*} = ||f||_2, \tau \mapsto ||\nabla f_{\tau}||_2$ is nonincreasing, and $\lim_{\tau \to n_0+1} f_{\tau} = f_{n_0+1}$ $\mathcal{E}(f) \geq 1-S_d\; \frac{\|f\|_{2^*}^2}{\|\nabla f\|_2^2}$ $\|\nabla f\|_2^2$ $\geq 1-S_d\, \frac{\| {\sf f}_{\tau_0} \|_{2^*}^2 }{ \| \nabla^2 f \|_{2^*}^2}$ $\frac{\|T\cdot\tau_0\|^2}{\|\nabla f_{\tau_0}\|^2_2} = \delta \mathcal{E}(f_{\tau_0}) \ge \delta \mathcal{I}(\delta)$

Altogether: $\left\| \text{if } d(f, \mathcal{M})^2 > \delta \, \|\nabla f\|^2_{\text{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \text{ then } \mathcal{E}(f) \ge \min\left\{ \delta, \delta \, \mathscr{I}(\delta) \right\} \right\}$ \sqrt{m} \sqrt{m}

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

Part 2: The (simple) Taylor expansion

Proposition

Let $(X,d\mu)$ be a measure space and u, $r\in\mathrm{L}^q(X,d\mu)$ for some $q\geq 2$ with $u \ge 0$, $u + r \ge 0$ and $\int_X u^{q-1} r d\mu = 0$ \triangleright If $q = 6$, then $||u + r||_q^2 \le ||u||_q^2 + ||u||_q^{2-q} \left(5\int_X u^{q-2} r^2 d\mu + \frac{20}{3} \int_X u^{q-3} r^3 d\mu \right)$ $+5\int_X u^{q-4} r^4 d\mu + 2\int_X u^{q-5} r^5 d\mu + \frac{1}{3}\int_X r^6 d\mu$ \triangleright If 3 \leq q \leq 4, then $||u + r||_q^2 - ||u||_q^2$ $\leq ||u||_q^{2-q} \left((q-1)\int_X u^{q-2} r^2 d\mu + \frac{(q-1)(q-2)}{3} \right)$ $\frac{d^{(q-2)}}{3}\int_X u^{q-3} r^3 d\mu + \frac{2}{q}\int_X |r|^q d\mu$ \triangleright If 2 \leq q \leq 3, then $||u+r||_q^2 \le ||u||_q^2 + ||u||_q^{2-q} \left((q-1) \int_X u^{q-2} r^2 d\mu + \frac{2}{q} \int_X r_+^q d\mu \right)$

 QQ

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

Corollary

For all
$$
\nu > 0
$$
 and for all $r \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^d)$ satisfying $r \ge -1$,
\n
$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |r|^q d\mu\right)^{2/q} \le \nu^2 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} r d\mu = 0 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \omega_j r d\mu \quad \forall j = 1, \dots d+1
$$
\nif $d\mu$ is the uniform probability measure on \mathbb{S}^d , then
\n
$$
\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \left(|\nabla r|^2 + A(1+r)^2\right) d\mu - A \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} (1+r)^q d\mu\right)^{2/q} \ge m(\nu) \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \left(|\nabla r|^2 + Ar^2\right) d\mu
$$
\n
$$
m(\nu) := \frac{4}{d+4} - \frac{2}{q} \nu^{q-2} \qquad \text{if } d \ge 6
$$
\n
$$
m(\nu) := \frac{4}{d+4} - \frac{1}{3} (q-1) (q-2) \nu - \frac{2}{q} \nu^{q-2} \qquad \text{if } d = 4, 5
$$
\n
$$
m(\nu) := \frac{4}{7} - \frac{20}{3} \nu - 5 \nu^2 - 2 \nu^3 - \frac{1}{3} \nu^4 \qquad \text{if } d = 3
$$

Anexplici[t](#page-13-0) expression of $\mathscr{I}(\delta)$ $\mathscr{I}(\delta)$ $\mathscr{I}(\delta)$ $\mathscr{I}(\delta)$ $\mathscr{I}(\delta)$ $\mathscr{I}(\delta)$ if $\nu > 0$ $\nu > 0$ $\nu > 0$ is small [en](#page-16-0)[ou](#page-18-0)[g](#page-16-0)[h](#page-17-0) [so](#page-18-0) th[at](#page-22-0) $m(\nu) > 0$ $m(\nu) > 0$

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

Part 3: Removing the positivity assumption

Take $f = f_+ - f_-$ with $||f||_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$ and define $m := ||f_-||_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{2^*}$ and $1 - m = ||f_{+}||_{\mathbb{L}^{2^{*}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{2^{*}} > 1/2$. The positive concave function

$$
h_d(m) := m^{\frac{d-2}{d}} + (1-m)^{\frac{d-2}{d}} - 1
$$

satisfies

$$
2 h_d(1/2) m \le h_d(m), \quad h_d(1/2) = 2^{2/d} - 1
$$

With $\delta(f) = \|\nabla f\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \mathcal{S}_d \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$, one finds $g_+ \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$
\delta(f) \geq \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{BE}}^{d, \mathrm{pos}} \left\| \nabla f_+ - \nabla g_+\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \frac{2 \, h_d(1/2)}{h_d(1/2) + 1} \left\| \nabla f_-\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2
$$

and therefore

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{BE}}^d \geq \tfrac{1}{2}\,\min\left\{\max_{0<\delta<1/2} \, \delta\, \mathscr{I}(\delta), \frac{2\,h_d(1/2)}{h_d(1/2)+1}\right\}
$$

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \square & \rightarrow & \left\langle \bigcap \mathbb{R} \right\rangle \rightarrow & \left\langle \bigcap \mathbb{R} \right\rangle \rightarrow & \left\langle \bigcap \mathbb{R} \right\rangle \rightarrow \end{array} \right.$

Part 2, refined: The (complicated) Taylor expansion

To get a dimensionally sharp estimate, we expand $(1 + r)^{2^*} - 1 - 2^*r$ with an accurate remainder term for all $r > -1$

$$
r_1 := \min\{r, \gamma\}, \quad r_2 := \min\left\{(r - \gamma)_+, M - \gamma\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad r_3 := (r - M)_+
$$

with $0 < \gamma < M$. Let $\theta = 4/(d-2)$

Lemma

Given
$$
d \ge 6
$$
, $r \in [-1, \infty)$, and $\overline{M} \in [\sqrt{e}, +\infty)$, we have

$$
(1 + r)^{2^*} - 1 - 2^*r
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} 2^* (2^* - 1) (r_1 + r_2)^2 + 2 (r_1 + r_2) r_3 + (1 + C_M \theta \overline{M}^{-1} \ln \overline{M}) r_3^{2^*}
$$

\n
$$
+ (\frac{3}{2} \gamma \theta r_1^2 + C_{M, \overline{M}} \theta r_2^2) 1\!\!1_{\{r \leq M\}} + C_{M, \overline{M}} \theta M^2 1\!\!1_{\{r > M\}}
$$

where all the constants in the above inequality are explicit

a mills

 $\langle \overline{m} \rangle$ and $\langle \overline{m} \rangle$

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

There are constants ϵ_1 , ϵ_2 , k_0 , and $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1/\theta)$, such that

$$
\|\nabla r\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{d})}^{2} + A \, \|r\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{d})}^{2} - A \, \|1+r\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2^{*}}(\mathbb{S}^{d})}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\geq \frac{4 \, \epsilon_{0}}{d-2} \left(\|\nabla r\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{d})}^{2} + A \, \|r\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{d})}^{2} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{3} I_{k}
$$

 $I_1 := \left(1-\theta\,\epsilon_0\right)\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \left(|\nabla \mathsf{r}_1|^2+\mathrm{A}\,\mathsf{r}_1^2\right)d\mu - \mathrm{A}\left(2^*-1+\epsilon_1\,\theta\right)\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \mathsf{r}_1^2\,d\mu + \mathrm{A}\,k_0\,\theta\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \left(\mathsf{r}_2^2\ldots\right)$ $I_2 := \left(1-\theta\,\epsilon_0\right)\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \left(\vert\nabla\mathsf{\textit{r}}_2\vert^2 + \mathrm{A}\,\mathsf{\textit{r}}_2^2\right)d\mu - \mathrm{A}\left(2^* - 1 + \left(k_0 + \mathsf{C}_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}\right)\theta\right)\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \mathsf{\textit{r}}_2^2\,d\mu$ $I_3 := (1 - \theta \epsilon_0) \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} (|\nabla r_3|^2 + \Lambda r_3^2) d\mu - \frac{2}{2^*} \Lambda (1 + \epsilon_2 \theta) \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} r_3^{2^*} d\mu - \Lambda k_0 \theta \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} r_3^2 d\mu$ Ω spectral gap estimates : $I_1 > 0$ Ω Sobolev inequality : $I_3 > 0$

improved spectral gap inequality using that $\mu({r_2 > 0})$ is small: $I_2 \ge 0$

イロメ イ押メ イヨメ イヨメー

[Sketch of the proof and definitions](#page-8-0) [Competing symmetries](#page-11-0) [The main steps of the proof](#page-13-0)

L 2 stability of LSI: comments

[JD, Esteban, Figalli, Frank, Loss]

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n,d\gamma)}^2 - \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2 \log \left(\frac{|u|^2}{\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n,d\gamma)}^2} \right) d\gamma
$$

$$
\geq \frac{\beta \pi}{2} \inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}^d, c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u - c e^{a \cdot x}|^2 d\gamma
$$

The $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ does not appear, it gets lost in the limit $d \to +\infty$ Two proofs. Taking the limit is difficult because of the lack of compactness

One dimension is lost (for the manifold of invariant functions) in the limiting process

Euclidean forms of the stability

 $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(u - c e^{a \cdot x})|^2 d\gamma$? False, but makes sense under additioal assumptions. Some results based on the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck flow and entropy methods: [Fathi, Indrei, Ledoux, 2016], [JD, Brigati, Simonov, 2023-24] $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$ $(1, 1)$

More results on logarithmic Sobolev inequalities

Joint work with G. Brigati and N. Simonov Stability for the logarithmic Sobolev inequality Journal of Functional Analysis, 287 (8): 110562, Oct. 2024 \triangleright Entropy methods, with constraints

COLLE

 \rightarrow \oplus \rightarrow \rightarrow \oplus \rightarrow \rightarrow \oplus \rightarrow

Stability under a constraint on the second moment

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x) = 1 + \varepsilon x \text{ in the limit as } \varepsilon \to 0
$$

$$
d(u_{\varepsilon}, 1)^2 = ||u_{\varepsilon}'||^2_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, d\gamma)} = \varepsilon^2 \text{ and } \inf_{w \in \mathcal{M}} d(u_{\varepsilon}, w)^{\alpha} \le \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^4 + O(\varepsilon^6)
$$

$$
\mathcal{M} := \{w_{a,c} : (a, c) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}\} \text{ where } w_{a,c}(x) = c e^{-a \cdot x}
$$

Proposition

For all $u\in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d, d\gamma)$ such that $\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}=1$ and $\|x\,u\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2\leq d$, we have

$$
\left\|\nabla u\right\|_{\mathrm L^2(\mathbb R^d,d\gamma)}^2-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb R^d}|u|^2\,\log|u|^2\,d\gamma\geq \frac{1}{2\,d}\,\left(\int_{\mathbb R^d}|u|^2\,\log|u|^2\,d\gamma\right)^2
$$

and, with $\psi(s) := s - \frac{d}{4} \log \left(1 + \frac{4}{d} s\right)$,

$$
\left\| \nabla u \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d,d\gamma)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^2 \, \log |u|^2 \, d\gamma \geq \psi\left(\left\| \nabla u \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d,d\gamma)}^2 \right)
$$

Stability under log-concavity

Cheeger's inequality (for log-concave measures) and [Fathi, Indrei, Ledoux, 2016]

Theorem

For all $u \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d, d\gamma)$ such that $u^2 \gamma$ is log-concave and such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1, x \right) \, |u|^2 \, d\gamma = (1,0) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \, |u|^2 \, d\gamma \leq \mathsf{K}
$$

we have

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d,d\gamma)}^2 - \frac{\mathscr{C}_\star}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u|^2\,\log|u|^2\,d\gamma\geq 0
$$

$$
\mathscr{C}_\star = 1 + \frac{1}{432\,\text{K}} \approx 1 + \frac{0.00231481}{\text{K}}
$$

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

Theorem

Let $d \geq 1$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is some explicit $\mathscr{C} > 1$ depending only on ε such that, for any $u\in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d, d\gamma)$ with

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1, x \right) \, | u |^2 \, d\gamma = \left(1, 0 \right), \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \, | u |^2 \, d\gamma \leq d \ , \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^2 \, e^{\, \varepsilon \, |x|^2} \, d\gamma < \infty
$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then we have

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d,d\gamma)}^2 \geq \frac{\mathscr{C}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u|^2\,\log|u|^2\,d\gamma
$$

with $\mathscr{C} = 1 + \frac{\mathscr{C}_\star(K_\star) - 1}{1 + R^2 \mathscr{C}_\star(K_\star)}, K_\star := \max\left(d, \frac{(d+1)R^2}{1 + R^2}\right)$ $\frac{d+1) \, R^2}{1+R^2}$) if $\mathrm{supp}(u) \subset B(0,R)$

Compact support: Lee, Vázquez, 2003]; [Chen, Chewi, Niles-Weed,2021]

イロメ イ何 ト イヨ ト イヨ トー

These slides can be found at

[http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/](https://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~dolbeaul/Lectures/)∼dolbeaul/Lectures/ \triangleright Lectures

More related papers can be found at

[http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/](https://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~dolbeaul/Preprints/list/)∼dolbeaul/Preprints/list/ \triangleright Preprints and papers

For final versions, use Dolbeault as login and Jean as password

E-mail: dolbeaul@ceremade.dauphine.fr

 Ω

Thank you for your attention !