#### **Image Decomposition**

#### Using Bounded Variation and Homogeneous Besov Spaces

John B. Garnett (jbg@math.ucla.edu) Triet M. Le (tle@math.ucla.edu) Luminita A. Vese (Ivese@math.ucla.edu) Department of Mathematics, UCLA

AMS Eastern Sectional Meetting #1009 Annandale-on-Hudson, NY, October 8-9, 2005

# Outline

- 1. Variational Image Decomposition.
- 2. Motivation.
  - Mumford-Shah and Rudin-Osher-Fatemi models.
  - Meyer Models with the spaces

 $G = \operatorname{div}(L^{\infty}), \ F = \operatorname{div}(BMO), \ E = \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}.$ 

- Vese-Osher's approximation of Meyer G-model.
- Osher-Sole-Vese model with  $\dot{H}^{-1}$ .
- Approximation to Meyer *F*-model.
- 3. Modeling oscillatory components with Besov spaces.
- 4. Numerical results.

# Variational image decomposition

Let *f* be periodic with the fundamental domain  $\Omega = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . For short notation, we write *X* for *X*( $\Omega$ ). A variational method for decomposing *f* into u + v is given by an energy minimization problem

 $\inf_{(u,v)\in X_1\times X_2} \left\{ \mathcal{K}(u,v) = F_1(u) + \lambda F_2(v) : f = u + v \right\}, \text{ where}$ 

- $F_1, F_2 \ge 0$  are functionals on spaces of functions or distributions  $X_1, X_2$ , respectively.
- $\lambda > 0$  is a tuning parameter.

A good model for  $\mathcal{K}$  is given by a choice of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  so that  $F_1(u)$  and  $F_2(v)$  are small.

# Mumford-Shah (1989)

$$\inf_{(u,v)\in SBV\times L^2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega\setminus J_u} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \alpha \mathcal{H}^1(J_u) + \beta \|v\|_{L^2}^2, \ f = u + v \right\}.$$

- $f \in L^{\infty} \subset L^2$  is split into  $u \in SBV$ , a piecewise-smooth function with its discontinuity set  $J_u$  composed of a union of curves, and  $v = f u \in L^2$  representing noise or texture.
- $\mathcal{H}^1$  denotes the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure,

• 
$$\alpha, \beta > 0$$
 are tuning parameters.

With the above notations, the first two terms in the above energy compose  $F_1(u)$ , while the third term makes  $F_2(v)$ .

## Rudin-Osher-Fatemi (1992)

$$\inf_{(u,v)\in BV\times L^2}\left\{\int |\nabla u| \ dx + \lambda \|v\|_{L^2}^2, \ f = u + v\right\},$$

•  $\int |\nabla u| \, dx$  denotes  $|u|_{BV}$ ,

■  $f \in L^2$  is split into  $u \in BV$ , a piecewise-smooth function and  $v = f - u \in L^2$  representing noise or texture.

#### ● $\lambda > 0$ is a tuning parameter.

With the above notation,  $F_1(u) = |u|_{BV}$ , and  $F_2(v) = ||v||_{L^2}^2$ . Replacing  $||v||_{L^2}^2$  with  $||v||_{L^1}$  was proposed by Cheon, Paranjpye, Vese and Osher as a Summer project, and further analysis by Chan and Esedoglu, Esedoglu and Vixie, and Allard.

# Meyer models (2001)

**Remark:** Oscillatory functions do not have small norms in  $L^2$ . In 2001, Y. Meyer proposed

$$\inf_{(u,v)\in BV\times X_2} \Big\{ |u|_{BV} + \lambda ||v||_{X_2}, \ f = u + v \Big\}.$$

Here  $X_2$  is either G, F, or E.

• The space G consists of distributions T which can be written as

$$T = \operatorname{div}(\vec{g}), \quad \vec{g} = (g_1, g_2) \in (L^{\infty})^2, \text{ with}$$
$$\|T\|_G = \inf\left\{ \left\| \sqrt{(g_1)^2 + (g_2)^2} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} : \ T = \operatorname{div}(\vec{g}), \ \vec{g} \in (L^{\infty})^2 \right\}.$$

# Meyer (cont.)

• The space F consists of distributions T which can be written as

$$T = \operatorname{div}(\vec{g}), \ \vec{g} = (g_1, g_2) \in (BMO)^2, \text{ with}$$

 $||T||_F = \inf \{ ||g_1||_{BMO} + ||g_2||_{BMO} : T = \operatorname{div}(\vec{g}), \ \vec{g} \in (BMO)^2 \}.$ We say that *f* belongs to *BMO*, if

$$||f||_{BMO} = \sup_{Q \subset \Omega} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f - f_Q| < \infty,$$

where  $Q \subset \Omega$  is a square (with sides parallel with the axis). Here  $f_Q = |Q|^{-1} \int_Q f(x, y)$  denotes the mean value of f over the square Q.

# Meyer (cont.)

• We say a generalize function T belongs to the space E if it can be written as  $T = \Delta g$ , such that

$$\sup_{y|>0} \frac{\|g(.+y) - 2g(.) + g(.-y)\|_{L^{\infty}}}{|y|} < \infty.$$

- Both G = div(L<sup>∞</sup>) and F = div(BMO) (as defined previously) consist of first order differences of vector fields in L<sup>∞</sup> and BMO, respectively.
- E (as defined above) consists of second order differences of functions satisfying the Zygmund condition.

# **Approximating Meyer's** *G***-model**

**Vese-Osher (2003)**: model oscillatory components as first order differences of vector fields in  $L^p$ , for  $1 \le p < \infty$ .

$$\inf_{u,\vec{g}} \left\{ \|u\|_{BV} + \mu \|f - u - \partial_x g_1 - \partial_y g_2\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \left\| \sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2} \right\|_{L^p} \right\}$$

- $f \in L^2$  is decomposed into u + v + r, such that  $u \in BV$ ,  $v = \operatorname{div}(\vec{g}) \in \operatorname{div}(L^p)$ , and  $r = f - u - v \in L^2$  is a residual which is negligible numerically for large  $\mu$ .
- $\mu$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  are tuning parameters.
- Other motivating work on the G space includes Aujol et al, Aubert and Aujol, S. Osher and O. Scherzer, among others.

# **Osher-Sole-Vese (2003)**

- From the standpoint of view of PDE, sometimes second order differences are much more useful than first order differences (a remark made by Zygmund).
- From the point of view of image processing in the PDE/variational approach, S. Osher, A. Sole, and L. Vese were among the first to consider second order differences. They model oscillatory components as v = ∆g, where g ∈ H<sub>2</sub><sup>1</sup>. I.e. v ∈ H<sub>2</sub><sup>-1</sup>.

$$\inf_{u,v} \left\{ |u|_{BV} + \lambda \|\nabla(\Delta^{-1}v)\|_{L^2}^2, \ f = u + v \right\}.$$

▲ L. Linh and L. Vese (2005) recently considered modeling oscillatory components as  $v \in H_2^s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}^-$ .

# **Approximating Meyer's** *F***-model**

• (Joint work with L. Vese), we considered a strictly convex variational problem (motivated from Vese-Osher):

 $\inf_{u,\vec{g}} \left\{ |u|_{BV} + \mu ||f - u - \partial_x g_1 - \partial_y g_2 ||_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \left[ ||g_1||_{BMO} + ||g_2||_{BMO} \right] \right\}$ 

• An equivalent isotropic problem by setting  $\vec{g} = \nabla \cdot g$ , i.e.  $v = \Delta g$  (motivated from Osher-Sole-Vese),

$$\inf_{u,g} \left\{ |u|_{BV} + \mu ||f - u - \Delta g||_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \left[ ||g_x||_{BMO} + ||g_y||_{BMO} \right] \right\}$$

• Here, f = u + v + r, where  $u \in BV$ ,  $v = \operatorname{div}(\vec{g}) = \Delta g \in F$ , and  $r = f - u - v \in L^2$  is a residual. As  $\mu \to \infty$ , These models approach Meyer's *F*-model.

## $v = \Delta g$ is more preferable



1) R-O-F decomposition  $(u_1, v_1)$ , 2) Meyer's *F* decomposition  $(u_2, v_2)$  with  $v_2 = \operatorname{div}(\vec{g}), g_i \in BMO$ , 3) Meyer's *F* decomposition  $(u_3, v_3)$  with  $v_3 = \Delta g, \nabla g \in (BMO)^2$ .

### **Homogeneous Besov spaces**

Consider the Cauchy-Poisson semi-group

$$P_t g(x) = (e^{-2\pi t|\xi|} \hat{g}(\xi))^{\vee}(x), \ t > 0, \text{ and } P_0 = I.$$

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \ \ni k > \alpha \ 1 \le p \le \infty$ . We say  $g \in \dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,q}$  if

$$\|g\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,q}} = \left( \int \left| t^{k-\alpha} \left\| \frac{\partial^k P_t}{\partial t^k} g \right\|_{L^p} \right|^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} < \infty, \text{ for } q < \infty,$$

$$\|g\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,\infty}} = \sup_{t\geq 0} \left\{ t^{k-\alpha} \left\| \frac{\partial^k P_t}{\partial t^k} g \right\|_{L^p} \right\} < \infty, \text{ for } q = \infty,$$

For  $-2 < \alpha < 0$  we choose k = 0, and k = 2 for  $0 < \alpha < 2$ .

# **Homogeneous Besov space (cont.)**

Denote  $I_s v = (-\Delta)^{s/2} (v) = ((2\pi |\xi|)^s \hat{v}(\xi))^{\vee}$ , We have

 $I_s: \dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,q} \to \dot{B}^{\alpha-s}_{p,q}, \text{ isometrically (injectively).}$ 

Define  $\tau_{\delta}f(x) = f(\delta x), \ \delta > 0$ . We have

$$\|\tau_{\delta}f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = \delta^{-\frac{n}{p}}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \text{ and }$$

$$\|\tau_{\delta}f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \delta^{-\frac{n}{p}+\alpha} \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \text{ for all } 1 \le p, q < \infty.$$

The following embedding holds,

$$\dot{B}_{p,q_1}^{\alpha_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \dot{B}_{p,q_2}^{\alpha_2}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$
  
If either  $0 < \alpha_2 \le \alpha_1 < 2$ , or  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$  and  $1 \le q_1 \le q_2 \le \infty.$ 

### **Besov spaces for oscillatory components**

• Meyer's *E*-model corresponds to modeling

$$u \in BV$$
, and  $v = \Delta g$ ,  $g \in \dot{B}^1_{\infty,\infty}$ . I.e.  $v \in \dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}$ .

• (Joint work with J. Garnett and L. Vese) we consider decomposing f = u + v, such that

$$u \in BV$$
, and  $v = \Delta g \in \dot{B}^{\alpha-2}_{p,\infty}, \ g \in \dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,\infty}, \ 0 < \alpha < 2, 1 \le p \le \infty$ ,

with the minimization problems

• 
$$\inf_{u,g} \left\{ \mathcal{J}_a(u,g) = |u|_{BV} + \mu ||f - u - \Delta g||_{L^2}^2 + \lambda ||g||_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,\infty}} \right\}$$
  
•  $\inf_u \left\{ \mathcal{J}_e(u) = |u|_{BV} + \lambda ||f - u||_{\dot{B}^{\alpha-2}_{p,\infty}} \right\}$ 

### Numerical computation of $\mathcal{J}_a, p < \infty$

$$\mathcal{J}_{a}(u,g) = |u|_{BV} + \mu ||f - u - \Delta g||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \lambda ||g||_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha}},$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| + \mu \int_{\Omega} |f - u - \Delta g|^{2} + \lambda \sup_{t>0} ||K_{t}^{\alpha} * g||_{L^{p}},$$

where 
$$K_t^{\alpha} = t^{2-\alpha} \frac{\partial^2 P_t}{\partial t^2} = t^{2-\alpha} \left( (2\pi |\xi|)^2 e^{-2\pi t |\xi|} \right)^{\vee}$$
.

In practice, we consider only a discrete set

$$\{t_i = 2.5\tau^i: \tau = 0.9, i = 1, ..., N = 150\}.$$

These  $t_i$ 's are chosen so that discretely  $P_{t_1}(x)$  is a constant and  $P_{t_N}(x)$  approximates the Dirac delta function.

# Algorithm

- Given an initial guess  $(u_0, g_0)$ .
- Compute  $\bar{t}_0 = \operatorname{argmax}_{t \in \{t_1, ..., t_N\}} \|K_t^{\alpha} * g_0\|_{L^p}$ .
- Suppose  $(u_n, g_n, \overline{t}_n)$  is known. Compute  $(u_{n+1}, g_{n+1})$  via

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_a}{\partial u}=\right), \ 0=-\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla u_{n+1}}{|\nabla u_n|}\right)-2\mu(f-u_{n+1}-\Delta g_n)$$
$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_a}{\partial g}=\right), \ 0=-2\mu\Delta(f-u_{n+1}-\Delta g_{n+1})+$$
$$\left\|K_{\bar{t}_n}^{\alpha}*g_n\right\|_{L^p}^{1-p}K_{\bar{t}_n}^{\alpha}*\left(\left|K_{\bar{t}_n}^{\alpha}*g_n\right|^{p-2}K_{\bar{t}}^{\alpha}*g_n\right)$$

Suppose  $\overline{t}_n = t_k$ . Compute  $\overline{t}_{n+1} = \operatorname{argmax}_{t \in \{t_{k-1}, t_k, t_{k+1}\}} \|K_t^{\alpha} * g_{n+1}\|_{L^p}$ . Continue...

#### **Numerical computation of** $\mathcal{J}_a, p = \infty$

$$\mathcal{J}_a(u,g) = |u|_{BV} + \mu \|f - u - \Delta g\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \|g\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{\infty,\infty}},$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| + \mu \int_{\Omega} |f - u - \Delta g|^2 + \lambda \sup_{t>0, h\in L^1} \frac{\langle K_t^{\alpha} * g, h \rangle}{\|h\|_{L^1}}.$$

• Algorithm: The steps are the same as in the previous case, but now at each iteration we need to compute

$$\bar{h}_n = \operatorname{argmax}_{h \in L^1} \frac{\left\langle K_{\bar{t}_n}^{\alpha} * g_n, h \right\rangle}{\|h\|_{L^1}}, \text{ via}$$
$$h_{\tau} = \frac{K_{\bar{t}}^{\alpha} * g}{\|h\|_{L^1}} - \frac{\left\langle K_{\bar{t}}^{\alpha} * g, h \right\rangle}{\|h\|_{L^1}^2} \frac{h}{|h|}.$$

### Numerical computation of $\mathcal{J}_e, p < \infty$

$$\mathcal{J}_e(u) = |u|_{BV} + \lambda ||f - u||_{\dot{B}^{\alpha-2}_{p,\infty}}$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| + \lambda \sup_{t>0} ||H^{\alpha}_t * (f - u)||_{L^p},$$

. \/

where 
$$H_t^{\alpha} = t^{2-\alpha} P_t = t^{2-\alpha} \left( e^{-2\pi t |\xi|} \right)^{\vee}$$
.  
Suppose  $(u_n, \overline{t}_n)$  is known. Compute  $(u_{n+1}, t_{n+1})$  via

• 
$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_e}{\partial u}=\right), \ \frac{u_{n+1}-u_n}{\Delta \tau}=\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla u_{n+1}}{|\nabla u_n|}\right)+$$
  
 $\lambda \left\|H_{\bar{t}_n}^{\alpha}*(f-u_n)\right\|_{L^p}^{1-p}H_{\bar{t}_n}^{\alpha}*\left(|H_{\bar{t}_n}^{\alpha}*(f-u_n)|^{p-2}H_{\bar{t}_n}^{\alpha}*(f-u_n)\right).$ 

• 
$$t_{n+1} = \operatorname{argmax}_{t \in \{t_{k-1}, t_k = \bar{t}_n, t_{k+1}\}} \|H_t^{\alpha} * (f - u_{n+1})\|_{L^p}$$
.

### **Numerical results**





A decomposition using  $\mathcal{J}_a$  with  $\alpha = 1.5$ , p = 1,  $\mu = 1$ , and  $\lambda = 1e - 04$ .



A decomposition using  $\mathcal{J}_a$  with  $\alpha = 1.0$ , p = 1,  $\mu = 1$ , and  $\lambda = 3e - 03$ .



A decomposition using  $\mathcal{J}_a$  with  $\alpha = 0.5$ , p = 1,  $\mu = 1$ , and  $\lambda = 0.5$ .



A decomposition using  $\mathcal{J}_a$  with  $\alpha = 0.1$ , p = 1,  $\mu = 1$ , and  $\lambda = 0.5$ .



A decomposition using  $\mathcal{J}_a$  with  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $p = \infty$ ,  $\mu = 10$ , and  $\lambda = 1$ .



#### A decomposition using $\mathcal{J}_e$ with $\alpha = 1$ , p = 1, $\lambda = 1500$ .

## **Thank You!**