October 23, 2024

# Exercises about chapter 2

**Exercise 0.1.** (Representation formulas) We are concerned with the heat equation

(0.1) 
$$\partial_t f = \frac{1}{2}\Delta f + g$$

with either an initial datum  $f_0$  or a source term  $g \neq 0$ .

(1) Show that  $\gamma_t$  provides a fundamental solution to the heat equation (0.1) and that  $\gamma_{t+s} = \gamma_t * \gamma_s$  for any t, s > 0.

(2) Show that  $f_t := \gamma_t * f_0$  provides a solution to the heat equation (0.1) for any initial datum  $f_0 \in L^q$ ,  $q \in [1, \infty]$ .

(3) Show that

(0.2) 
$$\|\nabla_x \gamma_t\|_{L^r} = \frac{C_{d,r}}{t^{\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{r})+\frac{1}{2}}}$$

and recover the estimate  $\|\nabla f_t\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-1} \|f_0\|_{L^2}$ .

(4) We denote  $\mathcal{U} := (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ . For  $g : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}$  (smooth and rapidly decaying) show that

(0.3) 
$$f := \gamma *_{t,x} g = \int_0^t \gamma_{t-s} *_x g(s, \cdot) ds$$

provides a solution to the heat equation with source term g and vanishing initial datum.

(5) For  $g \in L^1(\mathcal{U})$  establish that the solution f to the heat equation with source term given by (0.3) satisfies  $f \in L^p(\mathcal{U})$  for any 1 . More generally and more precisely, establish that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{U})} \lesssim CT^{1-(1+\frac{d}{2})(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}-1)} \|g\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{U})}, \quad C := \frac{C_{r,d}}{(1-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})r)^{1/r}},$$

under the condition  $1 \le q < p$ ,  $(1 + \frac{d}{2})(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}) < 1$  and where  $C_{r,d}$  and r are defined in (0.2).

Exercise 0.2. (Fourier transform) We consider the heat equation with source term

$$\partial_t f = \Delta f + \operatorname{div} G,$$

with  $f, G \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ . Prove that there exists p > 2 such that  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ .

#### Exercise 0.3. (Variant proofs of Nash inequality using the Sobolev inequality)

1. Give another proof of the Nash inequality by using the Sobolev inequality in dimension  $d \ge 3$ . (Hint. Write the interpolation estimate

$$\|f\|_{L^2} \le \|f\|_{L^1}^{\theta} \, \|f\|_{L^{2^*}}^{1-\theta}$$

and then use the Sobolev inequality associated to the Lebesgue exponent p = 2).

2. Give another proof of the Nash inequality by using the Sobolev inequality in dimension d = 2. (Hint. Prove the interpolation estimate

$$\|f\|_{L^2} \le \|f\|_{L^1}^{1/4} \|f^{3/2}\|_{L^2}^{1/2}$$

then use the Sobolev inequality associated to the Lebesgue exponent p = 1 and  $p^* := 2$  and finally the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality in order to bound the second term).

3. Give another proof of the Nash inequality by using the Sobolev inequality in dimension d = 1. (Hint. Prove the interpolation estimate

$$\|f\|_{L^2} \le \|f\|_{L^1}^{1/2} \|f^{3/2}\|_{L^{\infty}}^{1/3}$$

then use the Sobolev inequality associated to the Lebesgue exponent p = 1 and  $p^* := \infty$  and finally the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality in order to bound the second term).

## Exercise 0.4. (A variant proof of Nash inequality using the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality)

1) Prove the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality

$$||f - f_r||_{L^2} \le C r ||\nabla f||_{L^2}, \quad f_r(x) := \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} f(y) \, dy,$$

for any r > 0 and some constant C = C(d) > 0.

2) Recover the Nash inequality in any dimension  $d \ge 1$ . (Hint. Write that  $||f||_{L^2}^2 = (f, f - f_r) + (f, f_r)$ and deduce that  $||f||_{L^2}^2 \le C_1 r ||f||_{L^2} ||\nabla f||_{L^2} + C_2 r^{-d} ||f||_{L^1}^2$ , for any r > 0).

## Exercise 0.5. (Nash using the representation formula)

Let us consider a solution f to the usual parabolic equation.

(1) Repeating the first above argument, establish that

$$\nu \int_0^T \varphi^2 \int |\nabla f|^2 \le \int_0^T \varphi'_+ \varphi \int f^2,$$

for any  $0 \leq \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(0,T)$ .

(2) Establish that  $f\varphi$  satisfies

$$\partial_t(f\varphi) - \Delta(f\varphi) = \operatorname{div}((A - I)\nabla f\varphi) + f\varphi'.$$

Using Exercice 1, prove that

$$\|f\varphi\|_{L^p} \le C\|(A-I)\nabla f\varphi\|_{L^2} + C\|f\varphi'\|_{L^2}$$

for some exponent p = p(d) > 2 and a constant C = C(d) > 0.

(3) Recover the ultracontractivity estimate by combining (1) and (2).

**Exercise 0.6.** (Interpolation inequality) (1) For any  $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $f \in L^p \cap L^q$ , prove that  $f \in L^r$  and

$$||f||_{L^r} \le ||f||_{L^p}^{\theta} ||f||_{L^q}^{1-\theta}, \text{ with } \frac{1}{r} = \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{1-\theta}{q}$$

(2) For any  $1 \leq p_i, q_i \leq \infty, \theta \in (0,1)$  and  $f \in L^{p_1}L^{p_2} \cap L^{q_1}L^{q_2}$ , prove that  $f \in L^{r_1}L^{r_2}$  and

$$\|f\|_{L^{r_1}L^{r_2}} \le \|f\|_{L^{p_1}L^{p_2}}^{\theta} \|f\|_{L^{q_1}L^{q_2}}^{1-\theta}, \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{\theta}{p_i} + \frac{1-\theta}{q_i}$$

Hint. For (1), write  $f^r = f^{r\theta} f^{r(1-\theta)}$  and use the Holder inequality with  $s := p/(\theta r)$  and  $t := q/((1-\theta)r)$ . Verify that s and t are conjugate exponents.

For (2), start applying the interpolation inequality from (1) to  $||f||_{L^{r_2}}$  and then the Holder inequality with  $s := p_1/(\theta r_1)$  and  $t := q_1/((1-\theta)r_1)$ .

### Exercise 0.7.

(1) Establish the ultracontractivity estimate for a variational solution to the heat equation starting from its very definition.

(2) Establish the ultracontractivity estimate for a solution to the heat equation set on a bounded domain with a Dirichlet or a Neumann boundary condition.

(3) Establish the ultracontractivity estimate for a solution to the parabolic equation

(0.4) 
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}(A\nabla f) \quad \text{in } (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

for a measurable, bounded and strictly elliptic matrix A by using Nash's method.

(4) Establish the ultracontractivity estimate for a solution to the parabolic equation

(0.5) 
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}(A\nabla f + af) + b \cdot \nabla f \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for a bounded strictly elliptic matrix A and bounded vector fields a, b by using Moser's method. What about Nash's method?

**Exercise 0.8.** (Moser estimate) Consider  $q \in (0, \infty)$  and two cylinders  $\mathbf{q} \subset \mathbf{Q}$ . Establish that there exists p > q such that for any nonnegative solution f to the parabolic equation (0.4), there holds

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{q})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbf{Q})}$$

by just considering the equation satisfied by  $f^q$ . Generalize the argument for dealing with supersolution and subsolution depending of the value of q.

**Exercise 0.9.** Consider a variational solution f to the parabolic equation (0.5) in the cylinder  $\mathfrak{C}_r$ , r > 0, and define  $f_r(t, x) := f(r^2t, rx)$  on  $\mathfrak{C}_1$ . Show that  $f_r$  is the variational solution to the parabolic equation (0.5) with coefficients  $A_r(t, x) = A(r^2t, rx)$ ,  $a_r(t, x) = ra(r^2t, rx)$  and  $b_r(t, x) = rb(r^2t, rx)$ . (Hint. Prove the result for smooth coefficients and then use an regularization argument or make all the job on the variational formulation.)